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## Outline

```
Semi-Analytical method for the pricing of Barrier Options, under general dynamics.
```

In practice...
the extension of Boundary Element Method, introduced in the Engineering field in the 1970s, to barrier option pricing
here in a user-friendly way

Requirement:
Knowledge of the fundamental solution (transition probability density function) related to the differential model problem associated to the vanilla option at least in an approximated form

- Black-Scholes model problem
- Foundations
- Numerical examples
- Straightforward application to hedging
- Extension to Heston model


## The financial model problem: European barrier option pricing

```
A European option V(S,t) is a contract
which gives the buyer
the right to sell (put option) or to buy (call option) an underlying asset S
at a specified strike price E
on a specified date (expiry)T
```

At maturity $T$, for Put Option with exercise (strike) price $E$ :
if $S \leq E$, the holder can buy the underlying asset at $S$ and exercise the right to sell it at $E$, thus the option's value is $E-S$.
On the contrary, if $S>E$, why sell something at a price $E$ that is lower than its market price? Thus, if $S>E$, the option is not exercised and the holder receives zero.


The financial model problem: European barrier option pricing
a knock-out barrier option is an option whose price extinguishes when the underlying asset breaches a pre-set barrier level

For clarity, I will illustrate here only the case of a

European put up-and-out option
whose price extinguishes when the underlying asset breaches a pre-set upper barrier level
but the method is analogously applicable also to call option and other combinations of barriers too.

## The mathematical model problem: European vanilla option

Under the simple Black-Scholes paradigm, still very common in use with time dependent parameters $\sigma(t), r(t), d(t)$

## European vanilla option differential model problem

- $V(x, t)$ option price $\quad x=\log (S) \in(-\infty,+\infty), t \in[0, T)$

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(r-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}-d\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}-r V=0
$$

- with final condition (payoff)

$$
V(x, T)=\max \left(E-e^{x}, 0\right) \quad x \in(-\infty,+\infty)
$$

$S=$ underlying asset value
$r=$ interest rate
$d=$ dividend yield
$\sigma=$ volatility
$T=$ expiry
$E=$ exercise price

- with boundary conditions on the asset

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} V(x, t)=E e^{-\int_{t}^{T} r d \tau} \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} V(x, t)=0 \quad t \in[0, T)
$$

For this problem the analytical solution is known

$$
\begin{gathered}
N[q]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{q} e^{-y^{2} / 2} d y \text { normal cumulative distribution; } q=-\frac{\log \left(e^{x} / E\right)+\int_{t}^{T}\left(r-\delta+\sigma^{2} / 2\right) d \tau}{\left(\int_{t}^{T} \sigma^{2} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
V(x, t)=E e^{-\int_{t}^{T} r d \tau} N\left[q+\left(\int_{t}^{T} \sigma^{2} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}\right]-e^{x-\int_{t}^{T} d d \tau} N[q]
\end{gathered}
$$

## The mathematical model problem: European vanilla option

following the PDE theory,
the analytical solution can be written as the discounted expected value of the final payoff

$$
V(x, t)=e^{-\int_{t}^{T} r d \tau} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V(y, T) G(y, T ; x, t) d y
$$

where $V(y, T)$ is the payoff and
$G(y, \tau ; x, t)$ is the fundamental solution of the forward PDE
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial y^{2}}-\left(r-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}-\delta\right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}-r G=0 \quad \tau>t \\ G(y, t, x, t)=\delta(x, y)\end{array}\right.$

The mathematical model problem: European put up-and-out option

$$
S \in\left[0, S_{u}\right] \text { and } t \in[0, T]
$$

Performing these classical changes of variables

$$
V(S, t)=u(S, t) e^{-\int_{t}^{T} r\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}} \quad S=e^{x} \quad \tau=T-t
$$

and defining $\quad r(t)=r(T-\tau)=: \bar{r}(\tau), \quad \sigma(t)=\sigma(T-\tau)=: \bar{\sigma}(\tau), \quad$ and $\quad d(t)=d(T-\tau)=: \bar{d}(\tau)$

## European put up-and-out option differential model problem

- $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0 \quad x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]$
- with initial condition

$$
u(x, 0)=\max \left(E-e^{x}, 0\right)=: u_{0}(x) \quad x \in \Omega
$$

- with boundary conditions on the asset
$S=$ underlying asset value
$\bar{r}=$ interest rate
$\bar{d}=$ dividend yield
$\bar{\sigma}=$ volatility
$T=$ expiry
$E=$ exercise price
$U=\log$ (upper barrier)
$\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x, \tau)=E \quad \mu(U, \tau)=0 \quad \tau \in[0, T]$

Is there a closed form solution?

## Numerical methods

- Monte Carlo methods: very simple and flexible, but also very slow to converge
- Binomial/trinomial lattices: relatively easy to implement, but not particularly efficient
- Finite difference schemes: easy to implement. However, standard high-order implementations fail to achieve true high-order accuracy, due to the nonsmoothness of the options' payoffs
- Finite element methods: very accurate and fast and capable of handling discontinuous solutions; However, they are quite difficult to implement, especially if a high-degree polynomial basis is employed and have some troubles particularly in unbounded domains (as Finite Difference methods)


## SABO Foundations

Semi-Analytical method for the pricing of Barrier Options, under general dynamics. based on Boundary Element Method

Foundations:

- Analytical Integral Representation of PDE solution
- Boundary Integral Equation
- Numerical Resolution of the Boundary Integral Equation by Collocation Method
- Numerical approximation of the option price


## Integral Representation Formula of the PDE Solution

following PDE theory...
PDE

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(x, \tau)-\mathcal{L}[u](x, \tau)=0
$$

$$
x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]
$$

the related transition probability density (Green fundamental solution)

$$
G(y, s, x, \tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[y-x-\int_{s}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{2 \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}\right\}, \quad \tau>s
$$

for each $(x, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, T), \quad G(y, s, x, \tau) \quad$ solves
$\begin{cases}-\frac{\partial G}{\partial s}(y, s ; x, \tau)-\mathcal{L}^{*}[G](y, s ; x, \tau)=0 & y \in \mathbb{R}, s<\tau \\ G(y, \tau ; x, \tau)=\delta(x, y) & y \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}$

Multiplying the PDE by $G$, integrating by parts (Green's Theorem) and using initial/boundary conditions

$$
u(x, \tau)=\int_{\Omega} u(y, 0) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y
$$

## Integral Representation Formula of the PDE Solution

following PDE theory...
$\square$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(x, \tau)-\mathcal{L}[u](x, \tau)=0
$$

$$
x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]
$$

the related transition probability density (Green fundamental solution)

$$
G(y, s, x, \tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[y-x-\int_{s}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{2 \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}\right\}, \quad \tau>s
$$

for each $(x, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, T), \quad G(y, s, x, \tau) \quad$ solves
$\begin{cases}-\frac{\partial G}{\partial s}(y, s ; x, \tau)-\mathcal{L}^{*}[G](y, s ; x, \tau)=0 & y \in \mathbb{R}, s<\tau \\ G(y, \tau ; x, \tau)=\delta(x, y) & y \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}$

Multiplying the PDE by $G$, integrating by parts (Green's Theorem) and using initial/boundary conditions

$$
u(x, \tau)=\int_{\Omega} u(y, 0) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(y, s) G(y, s, x, \tau) d y d s
$$

## Integral Representation Formula of the PDE Solution

following PDE theory...
$\square$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(x, \tau)-\mathcal{L}[u](x, \tau)=0
$$

$$
x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]
$$

the related transition probability density (Green fundamental solution)

$$
G(y, s, x, \tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[y-x-\int_{s}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{2 \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}\right\}, \quad \tau>s
$$

for each $(x, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, T), \quad G(y, s, x, \tau) \quad$ solves
$\begin{cases}-\frac{\partial G}{\partial s}(y, s ; x, \tau)-\mathcal{L}^{*}[G](y, s ; x, \tau)=0 & y \in \mathbb{R}, s<\tau \\ G(y, \tau ; x, \tau)=\delta(x, y) & y \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}$

Multiplying the PDE by $G$, integrating by parts (Green's Theorem) and using initial/boundary conditions

RF

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, \tau)= & \int_{\Omega} u(y, 0) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(y, s) G(y, s, x, \tau) d y d s \\
- & \int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s, x, \tau) d s \\
& \quad \text { for each } \quad x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Boundary Integral Equation

analytical INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FORMULA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RF } u(x, \tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s, x, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Boundary Integral Equation

## analytical INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FORMULA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RF } u(x, \tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s, x, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T] \\
& \text { unknown density }
\end{aligned}
$$

but on the boundary, letting $x \rightarrow U$, BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BIE } 0=u(U, \tau):=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0 ; U, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\sigma^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s ; U, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } \tau \in(0, T] \\
& \text { solve the equation... numerically }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Boundary Integral Equation

## analytical INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FORMULA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{RF} u(x, \tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s, x, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

but on the boundary, letting $x \rightarrow U$, BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{BIE} \quad 0=u(U, \tau):=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0 ; U, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\sigma^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial u}(U, s) G(U, s ; U, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } \tau \in(0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Boundary Integral Equation

## analytical INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FORMULA

$\square$ $u(x, \tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s, x, \tau) d s$
for each $\quad x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]$
but on the boundary, letting $x \rightarrow U$, BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{BIE} \quad 0=u(U, \tau):=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0 ; U, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\sigma^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s ; U, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } \tau \in(0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$



Note!: when $U \rightarrow+\infty$ the method reduces to the evaluation of the payoff expected value

## Numerical Resolution of the Boundary Integral Equation

## by COLLOCATION METHOD:

- uniform decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$ with time step

$$
\Delta t=T / N_{\Delta t}: \quad t_{k}=k \Delta t \quad k=0, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}
$$

- approximation of the BIE unknown

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) \approx \phi(s):=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\Delta t}} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}(s)
$$

with $\varphi_{k}(s):=H\left[s-t_{k-1}\right]-H\left[s-t_{k}\right]$ for $k=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}$


- evaluation of BIE at the collocation nodes: $\quad \bar{t}_{j}=\frac{t_{j}+t_{j-1}}{2} \quad j=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}$
$\square$

$$
0=u(U, \tau):=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0 ; U, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) \frac{\sigma^{2}(s)}{2} G(U, s ; U, \tau) d s
$$

## Numerical Resolution of the Boundary Integral Equation

## by COLLOCATION METHOD:

- uniform decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$ with time step

$$
\Delta t=T / N_{\Delta t}: \quad t_{k}=k \Delta t \quad k=0, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}
$$

- approximation of the BIE unknown

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) \approx \phi(s):=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\Delta t}} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}(s)
$$

with $\varphi_{k}(s):=H\left[s-t_{k-1}\right]-H\left[s-t_{k}\right]$ for $k=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}$


- evaluation of BIE at the collocation nodes: $\quad \bar{t}_{j}=\frac{t_{j}+t_{j-1}}{2} \quad j=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}$

$$
0=u\left(U, \tau_{j}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G\left(y, 0 ; U, \bar{\tau}_{j}\right) d y+\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \sum_{k=0}^{N y=1} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}(s) \frac{\sigma^{2}(s)}{2} G\left(U, s ; U, \bar{t}_{j}\right) d s
$$

## Numerical Resolution of the Boundary Integral Equation

## by COLLOCATION METHOD:

- uniform decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$ with time step

$$
\Delta t=T / N_{\Delta t}: \quad t_{k}=k \Delta t \quad k=0, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}
$$

- approximation of the BIE unknown

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) \approx \phi(s):=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\Delta t}} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}(s)
$$

with $\varphi_{k}(s):=H\left[s-t_{k-1}\right]-H\left[s-t_{k}\right]$ for $k=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}$


- evaluation of BIE at the collocation nodes: $\quad \bar{t}_{j}=\frac{t_{j}+t_{j-1}}{2} \quad j=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}$

$$
\sum_{\mathcal{A}_{j k}}^{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\Delta t}} a_{k} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t_{j}} \varphi_{k}(s) \frac{\sigma^{2}(s)}{2} G\left(U, s ; U, \bar{t}_{j}\right) d s}_{\mathcal{F}_{j}}=-\underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G\left(y, 0 ; U, \bar{t}_{j}\right) d y}_{-\infty}}
$$

## Numerical Resolution of the Boundary Integral Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A} \alpha=\mathcal{F} \\
& \mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{N_{\Delta t} 1} & A_{N_{\Delta t} 2} & \cdots & A_{N_{\Delta t} N_{\Delta t}-1} & A_{N_{\Delta t} N_{\Delta t}}
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\text { as the Green's function } \\
\text { is defined for } \tau>s
\end{array} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{j k}=\int_{0}^{\bar{t}_{j}} \varphi_{k}(s) \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} G\left(U, s ; U, \bar{t}_{j}\right) d s=\int_{t_{k-1}}^{\min \left(t_{k}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi \int_{s}^{\bar{t}_{j}} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[\int_{s}^{\bar{t}_{j}}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{2 \int_{s}^{\bar{t}_{j}} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v} d s\right. \\
& j, k=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}, j \geq k
\end{aligned}
$$

... here in a user-friendly way:
numerical integration is simply performed by adaptive quadrature functions of Matlab:

- quad
- and quadgk for weak singularity in matrix diagonal entries


## Numerical Resolution of the Boundary Integral Equation

$$
\mathcal{A} \alpha=\mathcal{F}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { as the Green's function } \\
& \text { is defined for } \tau>s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{j k}=\int_{0}^{\bar{t}_{j}} \varphi_{k}(s) \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} G\left(U, s ; U, \bar{t}_{j}\right) d s=\int_{t_{k-1}}^{\operatorname{minan}\left(t_{k}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi \int_{s}^{t_{j}} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[\int_{s}^{\bar{t}_{j}}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{2 \int_{s}^{\bar{t}_{j}} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}\right\} d s
$$

$$
j, k=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}, j \geq k
$$

N.B.: if $\sigma, r, \delta$ are constant then $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{2} & A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{3} & A_{2} & A_{1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ldots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{N_{\Delta t}} & A_{N_{\Delta t-1}} & \cdots & A_{N_{2}} & A_{N_{1}}\end{array}\right)$

## Numerical Resolution of the Boundary Integral Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A} \alpha=\mathcal{F} \\
& \mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{N_{\Delta t} 1} & A_{N_{\Delta t} 2} & \cdots & A_{N_{\Delta t} N_{\Delta t}-1} & A_{N_{\Delta t} N_{\Delta t}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { as the Green's function } \\
& \text { is defined for } \tau>S
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j, k=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}, j \geq k
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A} \alpha=\mathcal{F}
$$

analytical INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FORMULA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RF } u(x, \tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s, x, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical Approximation of the option price

## approximation of INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FORMULA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RF } u(x, \tau) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0, x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N \Delta t} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}(s) G(U, s, x, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } x \in \Omega=(-\infty, U), \tau \in(0, T] \\
& u(x, \tau) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\min (U, \log (E))} \frac{\left(E-e^{y}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi \int_{0}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[y-x-\int_{0}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{2 \int_{0}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}\right\} d y+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{ceil}\left[\frac{t}{\Delta t}\right]} \alpha_{k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{\min \left(t_{k}, \tau\right)} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[U-x-\int_{s}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{2 \int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\forall S \in\left(0, S_{u}\right), \forall t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right) \quad V(S, t)=u(\log (S), T-t) e^{-\int_{t}^{T} r\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}}
$$

## Hedging

This numerical strategy is very useful and efficient also for hedging that needs computing Greeks because it is sufficient to evaluate the derivative of the RF

- Hedging without computing the primary unknown $V$
- $\Delta:=\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}=\frac{1}{S} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(\log (S), T-t) e^{-\int_{t}^{T} r\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, \tau):=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(y, 0 ; x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(U, s ; x, \tau) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) d s \\
& \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(y, s, x, \tau)=G(y, s, x, \tau) \frac{y-x-\int_{s}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v}{\int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}
\end{aligned}
$$

## BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATION

BIE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=u(U, \tau):=\int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) G(y, 0 ; U, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\sigma^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) G(U, s ; U, \tau) d s \\
& \text { for each } \tau \in(0, T] \\
& \text { solve the equation... numerically }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical Example: test with constant parameters

## [L.V. Ballestra - G. Pacelli, 2014]

$\sigma=0.25$ constant volatility
$E=1$ exercise price
$r=0.1$ interest rate
$\delta=0$ dividend yield
$T=1$ maturity
$e^{x^{*}}=S^{*}=[0: 0.05: 2]$
current underlying asset values
$S_{u}=2$ upper barrier $>E$
$V\left(S^{*}, 0\right)$

[J.C. Hull, 2011]

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left.V(S, t)=E e^{-r(T-t)} \mathcal{N}\left[y_{1}+(1-2 \lambda \sigma) \sqrt{T-t}\right)\right] \\
& - & S e^{-\delta(T-t)} \mathcal{N}\left[y_{1}-2 \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T-t}\right] \\
& +S e^{-\delta(T-t)}\left(S_{u} / S\right)^{2 \lambda} \mathcal{N}\left[-y_{1}\right] & \\
& -E e^{-r(T-t)}\left(S_{u} / S\right)^{2 \lambda-2} \mathcal{N}\left[-y_{1}+\sigma \sqrt{(T-t)}\right] & \text { if } S_{u} \leq E, \\
V(S, t)=P+ & S e^{-\delta(T-t)}\left(S_{u} / S\right)^{2 \lambda} \mathcal{N}[-y] & \\
- & E e^{-r(T-t)}\left(S_{u} / S\right)^{2 \lambda-2} \mathcal{N}[-y+\sigma \sqrt{(T-t)}] & \text { if } S_{u} \geq E, \\
\lambda=\frac{r-\delta+\sigma^{2} / 2}{\sigma^{2}} ; & y_{1}=\frac{\log \left(S_{u} / S\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}}+\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T-t} ; & y=\frac{\log \left(S_{u}^{2} /(S E)\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}}+\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T-t} ; \\
P(S, t) \text { is the value of the European put option without barriers }
\end{array}\right. \\
\hline
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

[L.V. Ballestra - G. Pacelli, 2014]
$\sigma=0.25$ constant volatility
$E=1$ exercise price
$r=0.1$ interest rate
$\delta=0$ dividend yield
$T=1$ maturity
$e^{x^{*}}=S^{*}=[0: 0.05: 2]$
current underlying asset values
$S_{u}=2$ upper barrier $>E$

$$
V\left(S^{*}, 0\right)
$$

FINITE DIFFERENCES
$\Delta t=\Delta x^{2} \quad$ (implicit in time and centered in space)

| $\boldsymbol{\Delta x}$ | Max Abs Err | Max Rel Err | CPU time |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.1 | $3.210^{-3}$ | $4.510^{+0}$ | $1.610^{-2} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.05 | $9.210^{-4}$ | $1.210^{+0}$ | $1.610^{-2} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.025 | $2.510^{-4}$ | $9.610^{-2}$ | $1.110^{-1} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.0125 | $6.610^{-5}$ | $2.510^{-2}$ | $2.310^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.00625 | $1.410^{-5}$ | $5.910^{-3}$ | $5.810^{+1} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.003125 | $3.610^{-6}$ | $1.610^{-3}$ | $1.910^{+3} \mathrm{~s}$ |


| $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{t}$ | Max Abs Err | Max Rel Err | CPU time |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.1 | $2.710^{-6}$ | $5.610^{-2}$ | $7.010^{-1} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.05 | $7.210^{-7}$ | $1.510^{-2}$ | $1.510^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.025 | $1.810^{-7}$ | $3.810^{-3}$ | $2.710^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.0125 | $4.910^{-8}$ | $1.010^{-3}$ | $5.510^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.00625 | $1.610^{-8}$ | $3.410^{-4}$ | $1.110^{+1} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.003125 | $4.910^{-9}$ | $9.810^{-5}$ | $2.310^{+1} \mathrm{~s}$ |

[C. Guardasoni - S. Sanfelici, A boundary element approach to barrier option pricing in Black-Scholes framework, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 2016]

## Numerical Example: test with constant parameters

$\Delta$ - Hedging
$\sigma=0.25$ constant volatility
$E=1$ exercise price
$r=0.1$ interest rate
$\delta=0$ dividend yield
$T=1$ maturity

$$
e^{x^{*}}=S^{*}
$$

current underlying asset values
$S_{u}=2$ upper barrier $>E$

$$
\Delta\left(S^{*}, 0\right)
$$

approximation by $2^{\text {nd }}$ order CENTERED FINITE DIFFERENCE and closed formula option values

| $\Delta x$ | $S^{*}=1.9$ | $S^{*}=1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | $-1.33064710^{-3}$ | $-3.06822810^{-1}$ |
| 0.05 | $-1.25951410^{-3}$ | $-3.01577910^{-1}$ |
| 0.025 | $-1.24207510^{-3}$ | $-3.00240010^{-1}$ |
| 0.0125 | $-1.23773610^{-3}$ | $-2.99903810^{-1}$ |
| 0.00625 | $-1.23665310^{-3}$ | $-2.99819710^{-1}$ |
| 0.003125 | $-1.23638210^{-3}$ | $-2.99798610^{-1}$ |


| $\boldsymbol{\Delta t} \mathbf{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{S}^{*}=\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\boldsymbol{S}^{*}=\boldsymbol{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | $-1.21782410^{-3}$ | $-2.99791610^{-1}$ |
| 0.05 | $-1.23268010^{-3}$ | $-2.99791610^{-1}$ |
| 0.025 | $-1.23589510^{-3}$ | $-2.99791610^{-1}$ |
| 0.0125 | $-1.23618010^{-3}$ | $-2.99791610^{-1}$ |
| 0.00625 | $-1.23622410^{-3}$ | $-2.99791610^{-1}$ |
| 0.003125 | $-1.23626810^{-3}$ | $-2.99791610^{-1}$ |

N.B.: in the case of constant parameters, we compare results with the closed formula for the greek.
$E=3$ exercise price
$e^{x^{*}}=S^{*}=[0: 0.05: 2]$
current underlying asset values
$S_{u}=2$ upper barrier $<E$
$V\left(S^{*}, 0\right)$


SABO

|  | Max Abs Err | Max Rel Err | CPU time |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.1 | $7.410^{-4}$ | $9.610^{-3}$ | $7.810^{-1} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.05 | $2.010^{-4}$ | $2.610^{-3}$ | $1.410^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.025 | $5.210^{-5}$ | $6.810^{-4}$ | $2.510^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.0125 | $1.510^{-5}$ | $1.910^{-4}$ | $4.910^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.00625 | $5.310^{-6}$ | $6.410^{-5}$ | $9.710^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |

FINITE DIFFERENCES
$\Delta t=\Delta x^{2} \quad$ (implicit in time and centered in space)

|  | Max Abs Err | Max Rel Err | CPU time |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.1 | $2.610^{-1}$ | $3.310^{+0}$ | $1.610^{-2} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.05 | $8.610^{-2}$ | $1.010^{+0}$ | $1.610^{-2} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.025 | $3.110^{-4}$ | $8.110^{-3}$ | $1.310^{-1} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.0125 | $8.110^{-4}$ | $2.010^{-3}$ | $2.410^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 0.00625 | $2.010^{-4}$ | $4.910^{-4}$ | $6.110^{+1} \mathrm{~s}$ |

MONTE CARLO
$M=50000$ is the initial sampling
$N_{\Delta t}=100$ is the number of initial time interval decomposition

| $\left(M, N_{\Delta t}\right) \cdot k$ | Max Abs Err | Max Rel Err | CPU time |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{k}=1$ | $5.010^{-2}$ | $5.710^{-1}$ | $5.110^{+0} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=2$ | $3.410^{-2}$ | $4.410^{-1}$ | $2.710^{+1} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=3$ | $2.710^{-2}$ | $3.210^{-1}$ | $7.210^{+1} \mathrm{~s}$ |

[C. Guardasoni - S. Sanfelici, A boundary element approach to barrier option pricing in Black-Scholes framework, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 2016]

## piecewise constant volatility

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{u}=101 \quad t_{0}=0, T=0.5 \quad S^{*}=100 \quad r=0.03, \quad d=0.02, \\
& \sigma(t)= \begin{cases}0.0105 & t<0.25 \\
0.01147824 & 0.25 \leq t \leq T^{\prime}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$E=101$

| $n$ | $V_{S A B O}(100,0)$ | CPU time $(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.89178 | $1.0 \cdot 10^{+0}$ |
| 3 | 0.89373 | $2.0 \cdot 10^{+0}$ |
| 4 | 0.89419 | $4.5 \cdot 10^{+0}$ |
| 5 | 0.89433 | $1.3 \cdot 10^{+1}$ |
| 6 | 0.89436 | $3.9 \cdot 10^{+1}$ |
| 7 | 0.89437 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{+2}$ |

$E=103$

| $n$ | $V_{S A B O}(100,0)$ | CPU time $(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.08163 | $1.0 \cdot 10^{+0}$ |
| 3 | 1.08634 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{+0}$ |
| 4 | 1.08787 | $4.5 \cdot 10^{+0}$ |
| 5 | 1.08828 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{+1}$ |
| 6 | 1.08839 | $3.7 \cdot 10^{+1}$ |
| 7 | 1.08842 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{+2}$ |


| $n$ | $V_{F D}(100,0)$ | CPU time $(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.10233 | $1.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 1.09175 | $2.4 \cdot 10^{+0}$ |
| 2 | 1.08926 | $3.5 \cdot 10^{+1}$ |
| 3 | 1.08864 | $3.7 \cdot 10^{+2}$ |
| 4 | 1.08849 | $3.7 \cdot 10^{+3}$ |

$\Delta t_{S A B O}=T / 2^{n}$
$\Delta t_{F D}=\Delta x_{F D}^{2} \quad \Delta x_{F D}=0.25 / 2^{n}$
[C. Guardasoni, Semi-Analytical method for the pricing of barrier options in case of time-dependent parameters (with Matlab codes), submitted to CAIM]

## piecewise constant volatility

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{u}=101 \quad t_{0}=0, T=0.5 \quad S^{*}=100 \quad r=0.03, \quad d=0.02 \\
& \sigma(t)= \begin{cases}0.0105 & t<0.25 \\
0.01147824 & 0.25 \leq t \leq T\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E=201 \quad \Delta t=0.0625
$$



[C. Guardasoni, Semi-Analytical method for the pricing of barrier options in case of time-dependent parameters (with Matlab codes), submitted to CAIM]

## time-continuous volatility

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{u}=30 \quad t_{0}=0, T=1 \quad S^{*}=29 \quad r=0.03, \quad d=0.02, \quad E=50 \\
& \sigma^{2}(t)=0.03+0.02(T-t)
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\Delta t=T$ | SABO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $V\left(S^{*}, 0\right)$ | CPU time |
| 4 | 3.67754 | $4.010^{-0}$ |
| 5 | 3.68136 | $1.010^{+1}$ |
| 6 | 3.68235 | $3.410^{+1}$ |
| 7 | 3.68264 | $1.210^{+2}$ |


[C. Guardasoni, Semi-Analytical method for the pricing of barrier options in case of time-dependent parameters (with Matlab codes), submitted to CAIM]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{u}=30 \quad t_{0}=0, T=1 \quad S^{*}=29 \quad r=0.03, \quad d=0.02, \quad E=50 \\
& \sigma^{2}(t)=0.03+0.02(T-t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta t=0.05
$$



-     - Hedging

[C. Guardasoni, Semi-Analytical method for the pricing of barrier options in case of time-dependent parameters (with Matlab codes), submitted to CAIM]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{u}=101 \quad E=50 \quad t_{0}=0, T=1 \quad S^{*}=50 \quad \sigma=0.105, \quad d=0.05, \\
& r(t)= \begin{cases}0.01 & t<0.25 \\
0.03 & 0.25 \leq t \leq I^{\prime}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(x, \tau):= & \int_{-\infty}^{U} u_{0}(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau}(y, 0 ; x, \tau) d y+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau}(U, s ; x, \tau) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(U, s) d s \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau}(y, s, x, \tau)= & \frac{G(y, s, x, \tau)}{\int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}\left\{\left[y-x-\int_{s}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(\tau)+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\left[y-x-\int_{s}^{\tau}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}}{2}-\bar{d}\right)(v) d v\right]^{2}}{\int_{s}^{\tau} \bar{\sigma}^{2}(v) d v}-1\right) \frac{\bar{\sigma}^{2}(\tau)}{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Observations

## Advantages:

- implicit satisfaction of asset infinity boundary conditions
- avoidance of discretization of asset- domain (dimensional reduction)
- high precision and stability
- direct evaluation of derivated functions (greeks)

Costs are due to:

- discretization in time
- numerical quadrature

Needs:

- Green fundamental solution in a closed or approximated form
if the volatility is considered as a stochastic process the problem to evaluate a DOWN-and-OUT Call Option reduces to the following partial differential problem
$V$ depends also on the square of volatility $v$

$V(x, v, t)$ option price $\quad x \in \Omega_{x}=(\log (L),+\infty), v \in \Omega_{v}=(0,+\infty), t \in[0, T)$| $\rho=$ correlation between $S$ and $v$ |
| :--- |
| $\eta=$ volatility of volatility |
| $\lambda=$ speed of mean reversion |
| $\theta=$ long-run variance |
| $r=$ risk-free interest rate |
| $\delta=$ dividend yield |

$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} v \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}+\rho \eta v \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x \partial v}+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{2} v \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial v^{2}}+\left(r-\delta-\frac{1}{2} v\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}-(\lambda(v-v)-\theta v) \frac{\partial V}{\partial v}-r V=0$

## Application to Heston model

if the volatility is considered as a stochastic process the problem to evaluate a DOWN-and-OUT Call Option reduces to the following partial differential problem
$\rho=$ correlation between $S$ and $v$
$\eta=$ volatility of volatility
$\lambda=$ speed of mean reversion
$\theta=$ long-run variance
$r=$ risk-free interest rate
$\delta=$ dividend yield
$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} v \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}+\rho \eta v \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x \partial v}+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{2} v \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial v^{2}}+\left(r-\delta-\frac{1}{2} v\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}-(\lambda(v-\bar{v})-\theta v) \frac{\partial V}{\partial v}-r V=0$

- final condition (payoff) $\quad V(x, v, T)=\max \left(e^{x}-E, 0\right) \quad x \in \Omega_{x} \quad v \in \Omega_{v}$
with $E$ exercise price
boundary conditions
[E. Miglio-C. Sgarra (2011)]
- on the asset

$$
V(\log (L), 2, t)=0 \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} V(x, v, t)=e^{x-\delta t} \quad t \in[0, T) \quad v \in \Omega_{v}
$$

- on the variance

$$
\lim _{v \rightarrow+\infty} S(x, v, t)=e^{x} \quad S(x, 0, t)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!} S_{B S}\left(t, e^{x}, B, \bar{\sigma}_{n}, \bar{r}_{n}\right) \quad x \in \Omega_{x} \quad t \in[0, T)
$$

$S_{B S}\left(t, e^{x}, B, \bar{\sigma}_{n}, \bar{r}_{n}\right)$ Black-Scholes value with
variance $\bar{\sigma}_{n}^{2}=\frac{n \sigma^{2}}{t}$ and rate $\bar{r}_{n}=r-\delta+\lambda\left(1-e^{\mu+\sigma^{2} / 2}\right)+n \frac{\mu+\sigma^{2} / 2}{t}$

## Numerical methods

Analitical solution only for Vanilla option [P.Carr, D.Madan (1999)]


- evaluation of the option over a wide subset of asset and variance values
- approximation of boundary conditions due to the truncation of the domain


## representation formula

[C.Guardasoni, S.Sanfelici (2016)]
$x \in \Omega_{x}=(\log (L),+\infty), v \in \Omega_{v}=(0,+\infty), t \in[0, T)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(x, v, t)= & e^{-r(T-\iota)}\left\{\int_{\log (L)}^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega_{v}} V(y, w, T) G(x, y, v, w, t, T) d w d y+\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{t}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{v}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}(\log (L), w \cdot \tau) e^{r(T-t)} \frac{w}{2} G(x, \log (L), v, w, t, \tau) d w d \tau\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fundamental solution

$G(x, y, v, w, t, \tau)$ is the joint transition probability density (or fundamental solution) that expresses the probability to move from $(x, v)$ at time $t$ to $(y, w)$ at time $\tau$

$$
G(x, y, v, w, t, \tau)=p_{t \rightarrow \tau}(x \rightarrow y, v \rightarrow w)=p_{t \rightarrow \tau}(y-x, w \mid v)=p_{t \rightarrow \tau}(y-x \mid w, v) \widetilde{p}_{t \rightarrow \tau}(v, w)
$$

- $\widetilde{p}_{t \rightarrow \tau}(v, w)$ is the transition density of the variance v conditioned on $w$
$\widetilde{p}_{t \rightarrow \tau}(v, w)=\gamma e^{-\gamma\left(v e^{-\lambda(\tau-t)}+w\right)}\left(\frac{w}{v e^{-\lambda(\tau-t)}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} I_{\alpha-1}\left(2 \sqrt{\gamma^{2} v w e^{-\lambda(\tau-t)}}\right)$
$\gamma=\frac{2 \lambda}{\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\tau-t)}\right) \eta^{2}} \quad \alpha=\frac{2 \lambda \bar{v}}{\eta^{2}} ; \quad I$ is the modified Bessel function of the 1 st kind (Feller condition $\left.\lambda \bar{v} \geq \eta^{2}\right)$
- with an inverse Fourier transform:
$p_{t \rightarrow \tau}(y-x \mid w, v)=\mathcal{F}_{\omega}^{-1}[\widehat{p}](y-x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \widehat{p}(\omega, v, w, t, \tau) e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega(y-x)} d \omega$
$\hat{p}(\omega, v, w, t, \tau)=e^{\mathbf{i} \omega\left\{(r-d)(\tau-t)+\frac{\rho}{\eta}(w-v-\lambda \bar{v}(\tau-t))\right\}_{\phi}}\left[\omega\left(\frac{\lambda \rho}{\eta}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{i} \omega^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)\right]$
$\phi[\cdot]=\ldots$ is the characteristic function of the integrated variance $\int_{t}^{\tau} v(s) d s$ given $v_{t}$ and $v_{\tau}$
[M. Broadie, O. Kaya (2006)]


## Numerical resolution of BIE

- uniform decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$ with time step

$$
\Delta t=T / N_{\Delta t}: \quad t_{j}=j \Delta t \quad j=0, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}
$$

- uniform decomposition of the variance interval $\left[0, v_{\max }\right]$ with step

$$
\Delta v=v_{\max } / N_{\Delta v}: \quad v_{i}=i \Delta v \quad i=0, \ldots, N_{\Delta v}
$$

- approximation of the BIE unknown

$$
q(\log (L), w, \tau) \approx \sum_{h=1}^{N_{\Delta v}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\Delta t}} \alpha_{h}^{(k)} \psi_{h}(w) \varphi_{k}(\tau)
$$


with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{h}(w)=H\left[w-v_{h-1}\right]-H\left[w-v_{h}\right] \\
\varphi_{k}(\tau)=H\left[\tau-t_{k-1}\right]-H\left[\tau-t_{k}\right]
\end{aligned} \quad \text { for } \quad l l, \ldots, N_{\Delta v} \quad h=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}
$$

- evaluation of BIE at the collocation nodes: $\quad \bar{t}_{j}=\frac{t_{j}+t_{j-1}}{2} \quad j=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta t}$

$$
\bar{v}_{i}=\frac{v_{i}+v_{i-1}}{2} \quad i=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta v}
$$

Attention!: the fundamental solution in this framework is known throughout a numerical inverse Fourier transform

## Numerical resolution of BIE

$$
\mathcal{A} \alpha=\mathcal{F}
$$

- $\mathcal{A}$ has an upper triangular Toeplitz structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell=k-j & \ell=0, \ldots, N_{\Delta t} \\
& i, h=1, \ldots, N_{\Delta v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{i h}^{(j k)} & =\int_{\max \left(\bar{t}_{j}, t_{k-1}\right)}^{t_{k}} \int_{v_{h-1}}^{v_{h}} \frac{w}{2} G\left(\log (L), \log (L), \bar{v}_{i}, w, \bar{t}_{j}, \tau\right) d w d \tau= \\
& =\int_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} H[\ell]}^{1} \int_{v_{h-1}}^{v_{h}} \frac{\Delta t}{4 \pi} w \widetilde{p}_{0 \rightarrow \Delta t\left(\ell-\frac{1}{2}+s\right)}\left(\bar{v}_{i}, w\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \widehat{p}\left(\omega, \bar{v}_{i}, w, 0, \Delta t\left(\ell-\frac{1}{2}+s\right)\right) d \omega d w d s=: \mathcal{A}_{i h}^{(\ell)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- numerical quadrature rule for evaluation of inverse Fourier transform: Matlab adaptive quadrature
- numerical quadrature rule for evaluation of integrals:


## Numerical example: Heston model

L.Feng-V.Linetsky (2008)
$E=100$ exercise price
$r=0.05$ interest rate
$\delta=0.02$ asset payout ratio
$\rho=-0.5$ correlation between $S$ and $v$
$\eta=0.1$ volatility of volatility
$\lambda=4$ speed of mean reversion
$\bar{v}=0.04$ long-run variance
$L=110$ barrier

$$
V\left(150, v^{*}, 0\right)
$$

MONTE CARLO


SABO

| $N_{\Delta t}=N_{\Delta v}$ | $V\left(S^{*}, v^{*}, 0\right)$ | CPU time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 50.96 | $2 \cdot 10^{+2} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 6 | 50.98 | $9 \cdot 10^{+2} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 9 | 51.02 | $2 \cdot 10^{+3} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 12 | 51.01 | $2 \cdot 10^{+4} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 15 | 51.01 | $4 \cdot 10^{+4} \mathrm{~s}$ |

sampling


## Numerical example: Heston model

$V\left(115, v^{*}, 0\right)$

SABO | $N_{\Delta t}=N_{\Delta v}$ | $V\left(S^{*}, v^{*}, 0\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 8.04 |
| 6 | 8.06 |
| 9 | 8.31 |
| 12 | 8.29 |
| 15 | 8.30 |

BEM, $S=115$
pre- and postprocessing

| $N_{\Delta t}=N_{\Delta v}$ | Times |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+02 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 6 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 9 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+03 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 12 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+03 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 15 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+03 \mathrm{~s}$ |

## CPU time

postprocessing

| $N_{\Delta t}=N_{\Delta v}$ | Times |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+01 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 6 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+02 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 9 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+02 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 12 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+02 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 15 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02 \mathrm{~s}$ |

MONTE CARLO

|  |  | $M=10^{4}$ |  |  | $M=10^{6}$ |  |  | $M=10^{8}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N_{\Delta t}=100$ | 9.86 | [9.51,10.21] | 4.10-1 | 9.72 | [9.69,9.76] | $4 \cdot 10^{+1}$ | 9.74 | [9.73,9.74] | $4 \cdot 10^{+3}$ |
|  | $2 N_{\Delta t}$ | 9.22 | [8.88,9.57] | 7.10 ${ }^{-1}$ | 9.33 | [9.30,9.37] | 7.10 ${ }^{+1}$ | 9.33 | [9.32,9.33] | $6 \cdot 10^{+3}$ |
|  | $4 N_{\Delta t}$ | 8.99 | [8.64,9.33] | $1 \cdot 10^{+0}$ | 9.04 | [9.00,9.07] | $1 \cdot 10^{+2}$ | 9.03 | [9.03,9.04] | $1 \cdot 10^{+4}$ |
|  | $8 N_{\Delta t}$ | 8.81 | [8.46,9.15] | $2 \cdot 10^{+0}$ | 8.82 | [8.79,8.86] | $2 \cdot 10^{+2}$ | 8.83 | [8.83, 8.83] | $2 \cdot 10^{+4}$ |
|  | $16 N_{\Delta t}$ | 8.54 | [8.20,8.88] | $4 \cdot 10^{+0}$ | 8.68 | [8.65,8.71] | $4 \cdot 10^{+2}$ | 8.68 | [ 8.68, 8.68] | $4 \cdot 10^{+4}$ |
|  | $32 N_{\Delta t}$ |  |  |  | 8.58 | [8.54,8.61] | $8 \cdot 10^{+2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $64 N_{\Delta t}$ |  |  |  | 8.53 | [8.49,8.56] | $2 \cdot 10^{+3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $128 N_{\Delta t}$ |  |  |  | 8.50 | [8.46,8.53] | $3 \cdot 10^{+3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $256 N_{\Delta t}$ |  |  |  | 8.43 | [8.40,8.47] | $7 \cdot 10^{+3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $512 N_{\Delta t}$ |  |  |  | 8.39 | [8.36,8.43] | $1 \cdot 10^{+4}$ |  |  |  |

## Numerical example: Heston model
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## Perspective

- Extension to Asian barrier options with geometric mean
... with arithmetic mean
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